BID EVALUATION REPORT

- 1. Name of Procuring Agency: Sindh Food Authority, Food Department, Government of Sindh, Karachi.
- 2. Tender Reference No: INF/KRY/No.4811/2024 and SPPRA SR.No. T00789-23 Dated:23.04.2024
- 3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Procurement of Medical Equipments for Mobile Food Testing

Laboratory of Sindh Food Authority

	The second secon	
4. Method of Procurement:	Single Stage One Envelope	
4. Method of Procurement.	Shighe Stage One Envelope	

5. Tender Published: Published in daily newspaper INF/KRY/No.4811/2024 Dated:21.04.2023 (The Express Tribune) 22.04.2024 (Daily Kawish) 23.04.2024 (Daily Jang).

6	Total Bid documents Sold;	(03) THREE
U.	Total Dia documento bora,	

7. Total Bids Received: _______(03) THREE _____

8. Bid Opening date: _09.05.2024 at 15.00 P.M.

9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): THREE (03)

10. Bid(s) Rejected: NIL

11. Bid Evaluation Report:

Item No.01: - Rapid Testing Kit (Milk Adulteration Kit)

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)
1.	M/s Zain & Brothers Engineering CO KAR	Rs.2,440,000/-	1 st	Below with estimated cost	Responsive Bidder	Recommended for Award of Contract due to best evaluated and responsive bid
2.	M/s-Athar & Sons	Rs.2,626,200/-	2 nd	Above with estimated cost	Responsive Bidder	
3.	M/s Continental Worldwide Trading Company.	Not Quoted				

2. Item No.02: - Testometer for Oil Testing

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M/s-Athar & Sons	Rs.1,726,270/-	1 st	Below with estimated cost	Best evaluated & responsive bidder	Recommended for Award of Contract due to best evaluated and responsive bid
2.	M/s Continental Worldwide Trading Company.	Rs.1,947,000/-	2 nd	Above with estimated cost	Responsive bidder	
3.	M/s Zain & Brothers Engineering CO KAR	Rs.1,950,000/-	3 rd	Above with estimated cost	Responsive bidder	

My

MA

A.

A.

3. Item No.03: - PH Meter With CRM

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
	1	2	3 -	4	5	6
1.	M/s-Athar & Sons	Rs.314,666/-	1 st	Below with estimated cost	Responsive bidder	Recommended for Award of Contract due to best evaluated and responsive bid
2.	M/s Zain & Brothers Engineering CO KAR	Rs.550,000/-	2 nd	Above with estimated cost	Responsive bidder	
3.	M/s Continental Worldwide Trading Company.	Rs.830,720/-	3 rd	Above with estimated cost	Responsive bidder	

Item No.04: - Lactometer Different Range (Borosilicate Glass)

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M/s-Athar & Sons	Rs.61,560/-				
2.	M/s Zain & Brothers Engineering CO KAR	Not Quoted				Recommended for re-tender
3.	M/s Continental Worldwide Trading Company.	Rs.90,000/-				

Item No.05: - Cooling Box (57 Liters)

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M/s Zain & Brothers Engineering CO KAR	Rs.200,000/-	1 st	Below with estimated cost	Best evaluated & responsive bidder	Recommended for Award of Contract due to best evaluated and responsive bid
2.	M/s-Athar & Sons	Rs.356,690/-	2 nd	Above with estimated cost	Responsive bidder	
3.	M/s Continental Worldwide Trading Company.	Not Quoted				

Item No.06: - Cooling Box (30 Liters)

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
	1	2	3	4	. 5	6
1.	M/s Zain & Brothers Engineering CO KAR	Rs.150,000/-	1 st	Below with estimated cost	Lowest evaluated & responsive bidder	Recommended for Award of Contract due to best evaluated and responsive bid
2.	M/s-Athar & Sons	Rs.217,800/-	2 nd	Above with estimated cost	Responsive bidder	
3.	M/s Continental Worldwide Trading Company.	Not Quoted				

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
	1	2	3 -	4	5	6
1.	M/s Zain & Brothers Engineering CO (KAR)	Rs.80,000/-	1 st	Below with estimated cost	Best evaluated & responsive bidder	Recommended for Award of Contract due to Best evaluated and responsive bid
2.	M/s-Athar & Sons	Rs.105,543/-	2 nd	Above with estimated cost	Responsive bidder	
3.	M/s Continental Worldwide Trading Company.	Not Quoted				4

Item No.08: - Sample Bags of Different sizes and types

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M/s Zain & Brothers Engineering CO (KAR)	Rs.55,000/-	1 st	Below with estimated cost	Lowest evaluated & responsive bidder	Recommended for Award of Contract due to best evaluated and responsive bid
2.	M/s-Athar & Sons	Rs.97,000/-	2 nd	Above with Estimated Cost	Responsive Bidder	
3.	M/s Continental Worldwide Trading Company.	Not Quoted				

Item No.09: -Temperature Device for Ice Box

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M/s Zain & Brothers Engineering CO (KAR)	Rs.90,000/-	1 st	Below with estimated cost	Lowest evaluated & responsive bidder	Recommended for Award of Contract due to best evaluated and responsive bid
2.	M/s-Athar & Sons	Rs.199,920/-	2 nd	Above with estimated cost	Responsive Bidder	
3.	M/s Continental Worldwide Trading Company.	Not Quoted				

Item No.10: - SANITIZER

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M/s Zain & Brothers Engineering CO (KAR)	Rs.600,000/-	1 st	Below with estimated cost	Lowest evaluated & responsive bidder	Recommended for Award of Contract due to best evaluated and responsive bid
2.	M/s-Athar & Sons	Rs.663,000/-	2 nd	Above with estimated cost	Responsive Bidder	
3.	M/s Continental Worldwide Trading Company.	Not Quoted				

Item No.11: - Lab Coat (White) with SFA Monogram

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M/s Zain & Brothers Engineering CO (KAR)	Rs.180,000/-	1 st	Below with estimated cost	Lowest evaluated & responsive bidder	Recommended for Award of Contract due to best evaluated and responsive bid
R	M/s-Athar & Sons	Rs.624,900/-	2 nd	Above with estimated cost	Responsive Bidder	
3.	M/s Continental Worldwide Trading Company.	Not Quoted				

Item No.12: -Food Thermometer Digital

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
	1	2	3	4		6
1.	M/s Zain & Brothers Engineering CO (KAR)	Rs.240,000/-	1 st	Below with estimated cost	Lowest evaluated & responsive bidder	Recommended for Award of Contract due to best evaluated and responsive bid
2.	M/s-Athar & Sons	Rs.329,940/-	2 nd	Above with estimated cost	Responsive bidder	
3.	M/s Continental Worldwide Trading Company.	Not Quoted			-	

Item No.13: -TDS Meter.

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	ine Klader	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
	1	2	3			6
1.	M/s-Athar & Sons	Rs. 415,750/-	1 st	Below with estimated cost	Lowest evaluated & responsive bidder	Recommended for Award of Contract due to best evaluated and responsive bid
2.	M/s Zain & Brothers Engineering CO (KAR)	Rs.550,000/-	2nd	Above with estimated cost	Responsive bid	
3.	M/s Continental Worldwide Trading Company.	Not Quoted				

Item No.14: Turbidity Meter

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Name of Firm or the Ridder	Ridder the Bidder terms of	Comparison with Estimated cost 4	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
	1	2	3			6
1.	M/s-Athar & Sons	Rs.4,243,356/-				
2.	M/s Zain & Brothers Engineering CO KAR	Not Quoted				Recommended for re-tender
3.	M/s Continental Worldwide Trading Company.	Not Quoted		0		

m 1

Item No.15: - Hand Gloves

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
	1	2	3	4	5	6
1,	M/s Zain & Brothers Engineering CO (KAR)	Rs.500,000/-	1 st	Below with estimated cost	Lowest evaluated & responsive bidder	Recommended for Award of Contract due to best evaluated and responsive bid
2.	M/s-Athar & Sons	Rs.1,563,000/-	2nd	Above with estimated cost	Responsive bid	
3.	M/s Continental Worldwide Trading Company.	Not Quoted		4		

Item No.16: - First Aid Kit

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	ine Blader	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
	1	2	3	4		6
1.	M/s Zain & Brothers Engineering CO (KAR)	Rs.120,000/-	1 st	Below with estimated cost	Lowest evaluated & responsive bidder	Recommended for Award of Contract due to best evaluated and responsive bid
2.	M/s-Athar & Sons	Rs.153,405/-	2nd	Above with estimated cost	Responsive bid	
3.	M/s Continental Worldwide Trading Company.	Not Quoted				

Item No.17: - Sample Bottles 500ml Sterilize

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M/s-Athar & Sons	Rs.133,000/-	1 st	Below with estimated cost	Lowest evaluated & responsive bidder	Recommended for Award of Contract due to best evaluated and responsive bid
2.	M/s Zain & Brothers Engineering CO (KAR)	Rs.140,000/-	2nd	Above with estimated cost	Responsive bid	
3.	M/s Continental Worldwide Trading Company.	Not Quoted				

Item No.18: - Sample Bottles 1000ml Sterilize

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Name of Firm or the Ridder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
			3	4		6
1.	M/s-Athar & Sons	Rs.96,000/-	1 st	Below with estimated cost	Lowest evaluated & responsive bidder	Recommended for Award of Contract due to lowest evaluated and responsive bid
2.	M/s Zain & Brothers Engineering CO (KAR)	Rs.100,000/-	2nd	Above with estimated cost	Responsive bid	

m

#

M/s Continental Worldwide Trading Company.	Not Quoted			
--	------------	--	--	--

Item No.19: - Face Mask

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
	1	2	3	4	5	6
1,	M/s-Athar & Sons	Rs.246,400/-	1 st	Below with estimated cost	Lowest evaluated & responsive bidder	Recommended for Award of Contract due to lowest evaluated and responsive bid
2.	M/s Zain & Brothers Engineering CO (KAR)	Rs.320,000/-	2nd	Above with estimated cost	Responsive bid	
3.	M/s Continental Worldwide Trading Company.	Not Quoted				•

Item No.20: - Portable Milk Analyzer

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Name of Firm or the Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder		Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
	1	2	3	4	5	6	
1.	M/s-Athar & Sons	Rs.2,410,596/-	1 st	Below with estimated cost	Lowest evaluated & responsive bidder	Recommended for Award of Contract due to best evaluated and responsive bid	
2.	M/s Zain & Brothers Engineering CO (KAR)	Rs.2,640,000/-	2nd	Above with estimated cost	Responsive bid		
3.	M/s Continental Worldwide Trading Company.	Not Quoted					

Nadeem Ansari Assistant Director (Industries) Member PC

> Dr. Ahmed Ali Shaikh Director Technical Member PC

Zulfiqar Ali Dars S.O PMSI Health Department. Member PC

Masood Bhutto Director Finance (SFA) Member PC

Agha Fakhar Hussain Director General (SFA) Chairman PC